

## REMARKS

In response to the interviews with Examiner Williams on 8-20-2009 and 8-24-2009, the application has been amended and filed with this RCE to place the claims in better condition for allowance or appeal. Review and reconsideration are requested in view of the above amendments and following remarks.

The Examiner and Applicant discussed the rejected claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, stating the term “concurrent” was now more clearly defined so that one skilled in the art could ascertain the meaning of concurrent SSL connection and that the application provided clear antecedent basis for such language.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection is kindly requested.

Further, in view of the amendments and remarks made in the interview and of record as to the now amended claims, the claims are submitted to clearly define over the art. In this regard, the claims have been amended to call for:

A system for increasing data access in a secure socket layer network environment, which includes:

a web server computer having SSL protocol server software operably associated therewith for enabling a first SSL connection, wherein SSL protocol server software includes a CA certificate and private key, SSL acceleration server software operably associated with the web server computer which includes a pseudo CA certificate and access to the private key and a public key; and

a client computer communicatively linked to the web server computer having web browser software having SSL protocol client software operably associated therewith for enabling

the first SSL connection between the client computer and the web server, wherein the first SSL connection is established between the web browser software and SSL acceleration client software operably associated with the client computer, wherein the SSL acceleration client software communicates with the SSL acceleration server software to receive a copy of the pseudo CA certificate and the public key and present the pseudo CA certificate to the web browser software for validation thereof for enabling a second connection concurrent with the first SSL connection between the client computer and the web server computer, wherein the second SSL connection is established between the SSL acceleration client software and the SSL acceleration server software in a manner which permits optimization techniques to be applied on data transmitted through the second concurrent SSL connection. The first SSL connection is established between the web browser software and SSL acceleration client software and the second SSL connection is established between the SSL acceleration client software and the SSL acceleration server software in a manner which permits optimization techniques to be applied on data transmitted through the second concurrent SSL connection. The claimed invention is not shown, taught or suggested in the prior art. The basis for this amendment was discussed as being shown and described in the specification (pp. 5-7) and drawings (see FIG. 2A and 2B). Cancelled claims are done without acquiescing to the ground of rejection, but for the purpose of simplifying the issues for review in order to gain allowance or place the claims in condition for appeal.

Allowance of the remaining claims is kindly requested at as early a date as possible. This amendment is filed with an RCE request herewith. This is intended to be complete response to the Official Action dated 1/14/2009 which with a two month extension and fee are herewith which is believed timely in lieu of the Appeal Brief which is due with said extension.

Respectfully submitted,

/R. William Graham/

R. William Graham, 33,891

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed with the PTO for group 2437 on the date shown below.

/R. William Graham/

Date- Tuesday, August 25, 2009 R. William Graham, 33,891